KAVISHREE BOTADKAR ARTS & COMMERCE COLLEGE, BOTAD

STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY ANALYSISANDACTIONTAKENREPORT

Academic Year 2016-2017



DR.R.M.JADAV
IQACCO-ORDINATOR
KAVISHREE BOTADKAR
COLLEGE,BOTAD

DR.A.J.MAKWANA
PRINCIPAL
KAVISHREE BOTADKAR
COLLEGE,BOTAD

<u>StudentSatisfactionSurveyQuestionnairewithAverageScore</u> FEEDBACKONTEACHING,LEARNINGANDASSESSMENT

Q.NO	Questions	Options	In the scale of 5	
		85to100%(5)		
	How much of the	70to84%(4)		
	syllabuswascoveredin	55to69%(3)	3.5	
1	the courses in all	30 to54% (2)		
	semester?	Below30%(1)		
		Thoroughly(5)		
		Satisfactorily(4)		
	Howwelldoesthefacultymember	Poorly(3)		
2	prepare for the classes?	Indifferently(2)	3.7	
		Won'tteachatall(1)		
		Everytime(5)		
		Usually(4)		
	Faculty members inform you about	Occasionally/Sometimes(3)		
3	the expected competencies, course	Rarely(2)	3.2	
	outcomesandprogrammeoutcomes	Never(1)		
		Alwayseffective(5)		
		Sometimeseffective(4)	-	
		Justsatisfactorily(3)		
4	How wellwerethefacultymembers	Generallyineffective(2)		
4	able to communicate?	Very poor Communication(1)	3.4	
		Excellent(5)		
		Very good(4)		
	Thefacultymembersapproachto teaching can best be described	Good(3)	3.1	
5		Fair(2)		
	as	Poor(1)		
		Alwaysfair(5)		
		Usuallyfair(4)		
	Fairnessoftheinternalevaluation	Sometimesunfair(3)	_	
6	process @ SKCT by the faculty.	Usuallyunfair(2)	3.2	
		Unfair(1)		
		Everytime(5)		
		Usually(4)	_	
7	Was your performance in assignmentsdiscussedwithyou?	Occasionally/Sometimes(3)	_	
		Rarely(2)	3.3	
	-	Never(1)		
		Everytime(5)		
		Usually(4)		
	The faculty illustrates the	Occasionally/Sometimes(3)	7	
8	conceptsthroughexamplesand	Rarely(2)	3.6	
	applications.	Never(1)		

		Everytime(5)		
		Usually(4)		
9	Your faculty does a necessaryfollow-upwithan	Occasionally/Sometimes(3)		
	assigned task to you.	Rarely(2)	3.8	
	assigned task to you.	Never(1)		
		Fully(5)		
	Thefacultyidentifyyourstrengths andencourageyouwithproviding right level of challenges.	Reasonably(4)		
		Partially(3)		
10		Slightly(2)	3.7	
		Unableto(1)		
		Everytime(5)		
	_ ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,	Usually(4)		
	Facultyisabletoidentifyyour	Occasionally/Sometimes(3)		
11	weaknesses and help you to overcome them.	Rarely(2)	3.5	
	Overcome them.	Never(1)		
		Everytime(5)		
		Usually(4)		
	The faculty helps you	Occasionally/Sometimes(3)		
12	identifyyourstrengthsand — weaknesses. —	Rarely(2)	3.6	
		Never(1)		
	The faculty use student centric methods, such as experiential learning,participativelearningand problemsolvingmethodologiesfor	Toagreat extent(5)		
		Moderate(4)		
		Some what(3)		
13		Very little(2)	3.5	
	enhancing learning experiences.	Notatall(1)		
	WhatpercentageoffacultyuseICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.	Above90%(5)		
		70– 89%(4)		
		50- 69%(3)		
14		30-49%(2)	3.1	
		Below29%(1)		
		Stronglyagree(5)		
		Agree(4)		
	The overall quality of teaching-	Neutral(3)		
15	learningprocesswasverygood.	Disagree(2)	3.0	
	[Stronglydisagree(1)		

<u>StudentSatisfactionSurveyQuestionnairewithAverageScore</u>

FEED BACK ON FACILITIES

Q.NO	Questions	Options	In the scale of 5
		Excellent (5)	2.9
		Very Good(4)	Ī
	Attention to Problems	Good (3)	
1		Average (2)	_
		Poor (1)	
	Campus maintenance	Excellent (5)	2.6
	Odinipus maintenance	Very Good(4)	_
_		Good (3)	_
2		Average (2)	_
		Poor (1)	
		Excellent (5)	2.8
	Campus security	VeryGood(4)	_
0		Good (3)	4
3		Average (2)	-
		Poor (1) Excellent (5)	0.7
	Campus wifi	VeryGood(4)	2.7
	•	Good (3)	-
4		\ /	-
4		Average (2) Poor (1)	-
		Excellent (5)	2.6
	First Aid	VeryGood(4)	2.0
	Filst Alu	Good (3)	-
5		Average (2)	-
		Poor (1)	-
		Excellent (5)	2.5
		VeryGood(4)	_ 2.5
	Cultural Events and Activities	Good (3)	-
6	Canarai Evente ana / territies	Average (2)	1
		Poor (1)	-
		Excellent (5)	2.9
		VeryGood(4)	7
	Drinking Water	Good (3)	7
7	•	Average (2)]
		Poor (1)	
		Excellent (5)	3.1
		VeryGood(4)	_
	Power supply and backup	Good (3)	_
8		Average (2)	
		Poor (1)	
		Excellent (5)	2.9
		VeryGood(4)	-
	Sports Facilities (Outdoor, Indooretc.)	Good (3) Average (2)	-
_		Average (2)	-
9		Door (4)	
		Poor (1)	

		Evallant	(E)	0.5
		Excellent	(5)	3.5
	Students welfare and	VeryGood(
	support	Good	(3)	
10		Average	(2)	
		Poor	(1)	
		Excellent	(5)	2.6
	Toilet hygiene and sanitation	VeryGood(4)	
	7.0	Good	(3)	
11		Average	(2)	
		Poor	(1)	
	Separate Girls Room	Excellent	(5)	3.4
	•	VeryGood(4)	
		Good	(3)	
12		Average	(2)	
		Poor	(1)	
		VeryGood(4)		
		Good	(3)	
		Average	(2)	
			` '	
		Poor	(1)	
			. ,	

